



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,300	09/18/2000	Stephane Herman Maes	YO999-380 3906	
. 75	90 08/09/2005		EXAM	INER
William E Lev	•		WINDER, P	ATRICE L
•	Ryan Mason & Lewis LLP 90 Forest Avenue			PAPER NUMBER
Locust Valley, NY 11560			2145	
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/664,300	MAES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patrice Winder	2145		
	1		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Patrice Winder	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	had main as about as a filling a bail	£:11 ==4 t= ==4	.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		1° 4 A 1	(DTOL 004)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	·						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered by applicant's arguments are directed to the newly amended.		in condition for allowa	ance because:				
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 		No(s).					
13. Other:	(Patrice Winder	der				
		Patrice Winder					

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2145

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Having the information signal representing speech obtained from a voice message system or real-time telephone conversation would require further search and consideration. This would raise a new issue.